Interview Summary	10/825,669	BRUECKNER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	NIMA MAHMOUDZADEH	2419	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>NIMA MAHMOUDZADEH</u> .	(3)NATALIYA DVORSON (REG. NO. 56,616).		
(2) <u>CHIRAG SHAH</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 07 January 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1, 9, and 17</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>An agreement was reached to incorporate dependent claims 8, 16 and 22 into independent claims 1,9 and 17 subject to further search.</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
/NIMA MAHMOUDZADEH/	/Chirag G Shah/		

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2419